

2. The Method of Moments

The Method

Suppose that we have a basic [random experiment](#) with an observable, real-valued [random variable](#) X . The distribution of X has k unknown parameters, or equivalently, a parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ taking values in a parameter space $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. As usual, we repeat the experiment n times to generate a [random sample](#) of size n from the distribution of X .

$$\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

Thus, \mathbf{X} is a sequence of [independent](#) random variables, each with the distribution of X . The **method of moments** is a technique for constructing [estimators](#) of the parameters that is based on matching the *sample moments* with the corresponding *distribution moments*. First, let

$$\mu_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(X^i), \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

so that $\mu_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the i^{th} [moment](#) of X about 0. Note that we are emphasizing the dependence of these moments on the vector of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Note also that $\mu_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is just the mean of X , which we usually denote simply by μ . Next, let

$$M_i(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^i, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

so that $M_i(\mathbf{X})$ is the i^{th} sample moment about 0. Equivalently, $M_i(\mathbf{X})$ is the sample mean for the random sample $(X_1^i, X_2^i, \dots, X_n^i)$ from the distribution of X^i . Note that we are emphasizing the dependence of the sample moments on the sample \mathbf{X} . Note also that $M_1(\mathbf{X})$ is just the ordinary sample mean, which we usually just denote by $M(\mathbf{X})$.

From our previous work, we know that $M_i(\mathbf{X})$ is an unbiased and consistent estimator of $\mu_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for each i . Thus, to construct estimators (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k) for our parameters $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$ respectively, we attempt to solve the set of simultaneous equations

$$\mu_i(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k) = M_i(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n), \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

for (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k) in terms of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) . Note that we have k equations in k unknowns, so there is hope that the equations can be solved.

Estimates for the Mean and Variance

1. Suppose that $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a random sample of size n from a distribution with unknown mean μ and variance σ^2 . Show that the method of moments estimators for μ and σ^2 are, respectively

$$M = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad T^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - M)^2$$

Of course, M is just the ordinary **sample mean**, but $T^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} S^2$ where S^2 is the usual **sample variance**. In the remainder of this subsection, we will compare the estimators S^2 and T^2 . Recall that S^2 is unbiased and consistent, with $\text{var}(S^2) = \frac{1}{n} (d_4 - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \sigma^4)$

2. Show that $\text{bias}(T^2) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$. Thus, T^2 is negatively biased, and so on average underestimates σ^2 .

3. Show that T^2 is asymptotically unbiased.

4. Let $d_4 = \mathbb{E}((X - \mu)^4)$ denote the 4th central moment. Show that

$$\text{MSE}(T^2) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^3} \left(d_4 - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \sigma^4 \right) + \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2}$$

5. Show that the asymptotic relative efficiency of T^2 to S^2 is 1.

6. Suppose that the sampling distribution is **normal**, so that $d_4 = 3\sigma^4$. Show that in this case

- $\text{MSE}(T^2) = \frac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4$
- $\text{MSE}(S^2) = \frac{2}{n-1} \sigma^4$
- $\text{MSE}(T^2) < \text{MSE}(S^2)$ for $n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$

Thus, S^2 and T^2 are multiples of one another; S^2 is unbiased, but at least when the sampling distribution is normal, T^2 has smaller mean square error. Next, **recall** that under the (artificial) assumption that μ is known, a natural estimator of σ^2 is

$$W^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$$

Moreover, W^2 is unbiased and consistent, with $\text{var}(W^2) = \frac{d_4 - \sigma^4}{n}$. Amazingly, when the sampling distribution is normal, T^2 has smaller mean square error even than W^2 .

7. Suppose again that the sampling distribution is normal. Show that

- $\text{MSE}(W^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$
- $\text{MSE}(T^2) < \text{MSE}(W^2)$ for $n \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$

8. Run the **normal estimation experiment** 1000 times, updating every 10 runs, for several values of the sample size n and the parameters μ and σ . Compare the empirical bias and mean square error of S^2 and of T^2 to their theoretical values. Which estimator is better in terms of bias? Which estimator is better in terms of mean square error?

There are several important one-parameter families of distributions for which the parameter is the mean, including the **Bernoulli distribution** with parameter p and the **Poisson distribution** with parameter a . For these families, the method of moments estimator of the parameter is the sample mean M . Similarly, the parameters of the normal distribution are the mean μ and the variance σ^2 , so the method of moments estimators are M and T^2 , respectively.

Additional Exercises

9. Suppose that $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a random sample from the **gamma distribution** with shape parameter k and scale parameter b . Show that the method of moments estimators of k and b are respectively

$$U = \frac{M^2}{T^2}, \quad V = \frac{T^2}{M}$$

10. Run the **gamma estimation experiment** 1000 times, updating every 10 runs for several different values of the sample size n , the shape parameter k and scale parameter b . Note the empirical bias and mean square error of the estimators U and V .

11. Suppose that $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a random sample of size n from the **beta distribution** with left parameter a and right parameter 1. Show that the method of moments estimator of a is

$$U = \frac{M}{1 - M}$$

12. Run the **beta estimation experiment** 1000 times, updating every 10 runs, for several different values of the sample size n and the parameter a . Note the empirical bias and mean square error of the estimator U .

13. Suppose that $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a random sample of size n from the **Pareto distribution** with shape parameter $a > 1$. Show that the method of moments estimator of a is

$$U = \frac{M}{M - 1}$$

14. Run the **Pareto estimation experiment** 1000 times, updating every 10 runs, for several different values of the sample size n and the parameter a . Note the empirical bias and mean square error of the estimator U .